REMARKS

Summary of the Office Action

Claims 3-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,124,799 to *Parker* in view of PCT Publication No. WO98/57511 to *Telia et al.* and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,581 to *O'Connell et al.*

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 3-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Parker* in view of *Telia et al.* and in further view of *O'Connell et al.*

Accordingly, claims 3-11 are presently pending for consideration.

All Claims Recite Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Parker* in view of *Telia et al.* and in further view of *O'Connell et al.* Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for at least the following reasons.

The Office has not established a *prima facie* case of obviousness at least because *Parker*, *Telia et al.*, and *O'Connell et al.*, whether taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest each and every recited feature of independent claim 9. As previously presented, independent claim 9 recites a method of unlocking a mobile telephone including, in part, the steps of "the user, through said mobile telephone, establishing a communication by using a calling number relating to the manufacturer" and "said mobile phone proceeding itself to unlocking using the received unlocking information." *Parker*, *Telia et al.*, and *O'Connell et al.*, whether taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest at least these features of independent claim 9.

The Office Action admits that the combination of Parker and Telia et al. "do not

explicitly disclose that the user establishes a communication by using a calling number relating

to the manufacturer of the handset in order to perform the unlocking function." Paragraph 3. To

overcome this admitted deficiency of Parker and Telia et al., the Office Action relies upon the

teachings of O'Connell.

At most, O'Connell discloses that additional features may be added to an already

unlocked phone. O'Connell states that the "user may call a freephone run by the phone

manufacturer or another party if appropriate and request that a feature be added to his or her

telephone." Col. 9, lines 28-31. Thus, O'Connell fails to teach or suggest the steps of the steps

of "the user, through said mobile telephone, establishing a communication by using a calling

number relating to the manufacturer" and "said mobile phone proceeding itself to unlocking

using the received unlocking information," as claimed. Moreover, the Office Action does not

specifically address these claim features.

Accordingly, the rejection of independent claim 9 is improper and should be withdrawn.

Furthermore, claims 3-8, 10, and 11 depend from independent claims 9. Accordingly, claims 3-

8, 10, and 11 are also allowable for at least the reasons stated above.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely

allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding

after consideration of the Response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants'

undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby

authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this

1-WA/2958030.1

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 066829-5101

Application No.: 10/808,465

Page 4

application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required,

including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.

50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR**

EXTENSION OF TIME in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Robert J. Goodel

Dated: June 6, 2008

By: 172 | Reg. No. 41,040 | Mary Jane Boswell, Reg. No. 33,652

Customer No. 009629

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: 202-739-3000 Fax: 202-739-3001